

Embargoed until 10:45 a.m. CT/11:45 a.m. ET, Sunday, March 29, 2026

**Stepping into a New Era – Sotatercept for the Treatment of Cpc-PH
from HFpEF**

Running title: *Sarma and Chin; Sotatercept and HFpEF*

Satyam Sarma, MD¹; Kelly M. Chin MD²

¹Institute for Exercise and Environmental Medicine, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX; ²Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center Dallas, TX

Address for Correspondence:

Satyam Sarma, MD
Institute for Exercise and Environmental Medicine
University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center
7232 Greenville Ave, Dallas, TX, USA
Email: Satyam.Sarma@UTSouthwestern.edu

*The opinions expressed in this article are not necessarily those of the editors or of the American Heart Association.

**This article is published in its accepted form, it has not been copyedited and has not appeared in an issue of the journal. Preparation for inclusion in an issue of Circulation involves copyediting, typesetting, proofreading, and author review, which may lead to differences between this accepted version of the manuscript and the final, published version.

Pulmonary hypertension associated with left heart disease (group 2 PH) accounts for up to 80% of pulmonary hypertension diagnoses and can occur in all forms of left heart disease when elevated left heart filling pressures are present. When the pulmonary vascular resistance is also elevated, combined pre- and post-capillary pulmonary hypertension (Cpc-PH) is diagnosed. Because the risk of adverse outcomes rises in proportion to pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR)¹, medications that lower PVR in other forms of PH have been appealing as potential therapies for Cpc-PH. However, prior studies evaluating pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) therapies in patients with group 2 PH have been disappointing, including studies in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF)², HF with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF)^{3,4} and valvular heart disease⁵ where there were no improvements in clinical outcomes and often trends towards harm.



To date, treatment of Cpc-PH has focused on treating the underlying left heart disease. For patients with HFpEF, treatment options have expanded in recent years to include sodium glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2i), mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRA) and angiotensin receptor/neprilysin inhibitors (ARNi). SGLT2i and ARNi modestly reduce pulmonary artery pressures in patients with HFrEF^{6,7}, while MRAs have not shown benefit in pulmonary arterial hypertension.⁸ These drug classes have not been tested in patients with Cpc-PH from HFpEF.

Sotatercept is a novel activin signaling inhibitor first approved by the United States' Food and Drug Administration in March 2024 for the treatment of group 1 PAH. Sotatercept is a ligand trap for activins and growth differentiating factors and has effects that extend beyond reductions in PVR, including reducing vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation and fibrosis and improving pulmonary endothelial cell function – all characteristic pathologic features in PAH.

Vascular changes in Cpc-PH share some similarities with PAH, including proliferation of pulmonary vascular endothelial and smooth muscle cells, increases in inflammatory cells, and elevated cytokines and growth factors⁹.

In the pivotal STELLAR trial in PAH, 24 weeks of sotatercept improved 6 minute walk distance by an average of 34 meters and reduced the composite of death or non-fatal clinical worsening events by 84%, with a number needed to treat of 5 patients.¹⁰ Whether sotatercept is effective in Cpc-PH, where mechanisms for exertional intolerance, impaired functional capacity and clinical progression are less well defined, is unknown.

In this issue of Circulation, Gomberg-Maitland and colleagues report findings from the CADENCE trial¹¹, a phase 2 trial studying sotatercept for the treatment of Cpc-PH secondary to HFpEF. Key selection criteria included PVR ≥ 4 Wood units, ejection fraction $\geq 50\%$, and ability to walk ≥ 100 meters during a 6-minute walk test. Patients were randomized to either placebo, 0.3 mg/kg or 0.7 mg/kg sotatercept given every 3 weeks for 24 weeks. The primary endpoint was change in PVR with secondary endpoints of functional capacity (6-minute walk test) and time to clinical worsening defined by death, hospitalization for heart failure or cardiopulmonary event, and decline in 6-minute walk distance of $\geq 15\%$.

At baseline, patients had severe pulmonary hypertension, with a baseline PVR of 5.2 Wood units, a mean pulmonary artery pressure (mPAP) of 43 mmHg and pulmonary arterial wedge pressure (PAWP) of 21 mmHg. Relative to PAH trials of sotatercept, mean age of participants was older (75 years, versus 40s-50s), and with a higher BMI. After 24 weeks, PVR, MPAP and PAWP all improved, with significant decreases versus placebo for both the 0.3mg/kg and 0.7 mg/kg doses. Cardiac output was also nominally lower in both groups, but importantly oxygen delivery remained stable, with the decline in cardiac output offset by increases in

hemoglobin. On treatment blood pressure did not differ between groups, but systemic vascular resistance increased in both sotatercept groups.

For clinical outcomes, 6-minute walk distance nominally increased in the 0.3 mg/kg but was unchanged in the 0.7 mg/kg group compared with placebo. Time to clinical worsening was 82% lower in the 0.3 mg/kg group but was not significantly reduced in the 0.7 mg/kg group. Safety endpoints were similar between sotatercept and placebo groups. Three patients in the 0.7 mg/kg group discontinued treatment due to adverse events, including 1 directly attributable to sotatercept.

The authors and study investigators are to be congratulated for this pivotal work addressing an area of unmet need in the care of patients with HFpEF. In the spectrum of patients with HFpEF, the presence of Cpc-PH connotes worse prognosis, lower functional capacity and remains challenging to treat. Trends towards improved 6-minute walk time, echocardiographic and hemodynamic markers of both right and left heart function, and lower likelihood of clinical decompensation suggest sotatercept has the potential to become a foundational therapy for patients with Cpc-PH from HFpEF, provided the results are replicated in a larger, confirmatory phase 3 trial. The lack of an increase in cardiac output in an otherwise positive trial is, at first glance, surprising. However, this is consistent with PAH clinical trials of sotatercept, where large clinical improvements were seen without significant increases in cardiac output.^{10,12-14} Importantly increasing oxygen delivery could be particularly beneficial in HFpEF-PH, where low peak $\dot{V}O_2$ arises from low exercise cardiac output and skeletal muscle oxygen diffusion.¹⁵

There are several unanswered questions regarding the use of sotatercept in Cpc-PH from HFpEF. First, would the magnitude of benefit be muted by more rigid treatment of HFpEF prior to randomization? The average baseline PAWP was 21 mmHg, suggesting further room for

optimization of diuretics, SGLT2i and MRAs. While the hemodynamic benefits of sotatercept extended beyond PAWP reduction, a key driver of improved clinical outcomes with sotatercept was a reduction in urgent diuretic use. Whether this effect would still be present in patients with lower baseline PAWP is unknown. Second, the lack of a dose-response for clinical outcomes and potential for increased hospitalization from cardiopulmonary events in the 0.7 mg/kg dose is puzzling. This is in contrast to a trial in PAH where 0.7 mg/kg was the target dose and provided the greatest benefit¹². Reductions in PAWP and PVR were similar in both sotatercept groups, arguing against elevations in resting PAWP from lower PVR (i.e. “flooding the left heart”) as a cause for increased hospitalization in the 0.7 mg/kg group. Whether higher doses of sotatercept worsen V/Q matching or cause occult intrapulmonary shunt preferentially in group 2 versus group 1 PH is unknown and will require greater scrutiny. Lastly, the enrollment of patients with a relatively high PVR (>4 Wood Units) raises the question of where the biology of group 1 and 2 PH overlaps. Specifically, higher PVR likely enriches for patients with more significant vascular fibrosis and inflammation, similar to patients with group 1 PH. Whether sotatercept is effective in patients with CPc-PH and lower PVR is unknown.

Notably, the CADENCE trial emphasizes the importance of targeting underlying biologic mechanisms responsible for disease, versus focusing solely on improving hemodynamic parameters. Similar to PAH trials, the benefits of sotatercept transcend the relatively modest reductions in resting PVR and highlight the importance of targeting inflammation, cell proliferation and endothelial function in HFpEF. As the biology of ligand traps evolves, sotatercept marks the first step in leveraging the power of signaling networks to reverse fibrosis and cellular hypertrophy.

Disclosures

Satyam Sarma reports no disclosures related to this work.

Kelly Chin has received fees for work on steering, advisory or adjudication committees for Gossamer Bio, Inhibikase, Janssen, Merck and United Therapeutics and her institution has received research support for clinical studies overseen by her from Gossamer Bio, Inhibikase, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pulmovant and United Therapeutics.



Circulation

References

1. Maron BA, Brittain EL, Hess E, Waldo SW, Baron AE, Huang S, Goldstein RH, Assad T, Wertheim BM, Alba GA, et al. Pulmonary vascular resistance and clinical outcomes in patients with pulmonary hypertension: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2020;8:873–884. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30317-9
2. Cooper TJ, Cleland JGF, Guazzi M, Pellicori P, Ben Gal T, Amir O, Al-Mohammad A, Clark AL, McConnachie A, Steine K, et al. Effects of sildenafil on symptoms and exercise capacity for heart failure with reduced ejection fraction and pulmonary hypertension (the SilHF study): a randomized placebo-controlled multicentre trial. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2022;24:1239–1248. doi: 10.1002/ehf.2527
3. Hoeper MM, Oerke B, Wissmuller M, Leuchte H, Opitz C, Halank M, Seyfarth HJ, Baldus S, Bauersachs J, Bohm M, et al. Tadalafil for Treatment of Combined Postcapillary and Precapillary Pulmonary Hypertension in Patients With Heart Failure and Preserved Ejection Fraction: A Randomized Controlled Phase 3 Study. *Circulation*. 2024. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.124.069340
4. Vachiery JL, Delcroix M, Al-Hiti H, Efficace M, Hutyra M, Lack G, Papadakis K, Rubin LJ. Macitentan in pulmonary hypertension due to left ventricular dysfunction. *Eur Respir J*. 2018;51. doi: 10.1183/13993003.01886-2017
5. Bermejo J, Yotti R, Garcia-Orta R, Sanchez-Fernandez PL, Castano M, Segovia-Cubero J, Escribano-Subias P, San Roman JA, Borrás X, Alonso-Gomez A, et al. Sildenafil for improving outcomes in patients with corrected valvular heart disease and persistent pulmonary hypertension: a multicenter, double-blind, randomized clinical trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2017. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx700
6. Nassif ME, Qintar M, Windsor SL, Jermyn R, Shavelle DM, Tang F, Lamba S, Bhatt K, Brush J, Civitello A, et al. Empagliflozin Effects on Pulmonary Artery Pressure in Patients With Heart Failure: Results From the EMBRACE-HF Trial. *Circulation*. 2021;143:1673–1686. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.052503
7. Zhang J, Du L, Qin X, Guo X. Effect of Sacubitril/Valsartan on the Right Ventricular Function and Pulmonary Hypertension in Patients With Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2022;11:e024449. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.121.024449
8. Safdar Z, Cho E. Effect of spironolactone use in pulmonary arterial hypertension - analysis from pivotal trial databases. *Pulm Circ*. 2021;11:20458940211045618. doi: 10.1177/20458940211045618
9. Fernandez AI, Yotti R, Gonzalez-Mansilla A, Mombiela T, Gutierrez-Ibanes E, Perez Del Villar C, Navas-Tejedor P, Chazo C, Martinez-Legazpi P, Fernandez-Aviles F, et al. The Biological Bases of Group 2 Pulmonary Hypertension. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2019;20. doi: 10.3390/ijms20235884
10. Hoeper MM, Badesch DB, Ghofrani HA, Gibbs JSR, Gomberg-Maitland M, McLaughlin VV, Preston IR, Souza R, Waxman AB, Grunig E, et al. Phase 3 Trial of Sotatercept for Treatment of Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *N Engl J Med*. 2023;388:1478–1490. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2213558
11. Mardi Gomberg-Maitland M, MSc; Ryan J. Tedford, MD; David Langleben, MD; Stephan Rosenkranz, MD; Barry Miller, MS; Aaron D. Jones, PhD; Alessia Urbinati, MD; Ciaran J. McMullan, MBBCh; Alexandra G. Cornell, MD, MCR; and Jean-Luc

- Vachieri, MD. Sotatercept for Combined Post- and Pre-capillary Pulmonary Hypertension Associated With Heart Failure: Results from the Phase 2, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled CADENCE Study *Circulation*. 2026.
12. Humbert M, McLaughlin V, Gibbs JSR, Gomberg-Maitland M, Hoeper MM, Preston IR, Souza R, Waxman A, Escibano Subias P, Feldman J, et al. Sotatercept for the Treatment of Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384:1204–1215. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2024277
 13. Humbert M, McLaughlin VV, Badesch DB, Ghofrani HA, Gibbs JSR, Gomberg-Maitland M, Preston IR, Souza R, Waxman AB, Moles VM, et al. Sotatercept in Patients with Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension at High Risk for Death. *N Engl J Med*. 2025. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2415160
 14. Reddy YNV FR, Miranda WR, Harada T, Kazui S, Borlaug BA. Sotatercept in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension: Central, Hematologic, and Peripheral Mechanisms of Benefit. *Journal of American College of Cardiology*. 2026. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2026.02.5103>
 15. Houstis NE, Eisman AS, Pappagianopoulos PP, Wooster L, Bailey CS, Wagner PD, Lewis GD. Exercise Intolerance in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: Diagnosing and Ranking Its Causes Using Personalized O2 Pathway Analysis. *Circulation*. 2018;137:148–161. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.029058



Circulation